

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

Petitioner, §	ERIC SAMUEL, #277784,	§	
	Petitioner,	§	
§		§	
vs. § CIVIL ACTION NO. 3:10-1610-HFF-JRM	VS.	§	CIVIL ACTION NO. 3:10-1610-HFF-JRM
§		§	
MR. LEORY CARTLEDGE, §	MR. LEORY CARTLEDGE,	§	
Respondent. §	Respondent.	§	

ORDER

This case was filed as a 28 U.S.C. § 2254 action. Petitioner is proceeding pro se. The matter is before the Court for review of the Report and Recommendation (Report) of the United States Magistrate Judge suggesting that Petitioner's Motion for Reconsideration be denied, Respondent's Motion for Summary Judgment be granted, and the Petition be dismissed without an evidentiary hearing. The Report was made in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636 and Local Civil Rule 73.02 for the District of South Carolina.

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this Court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight. The responsibility to make a final determination remains with the Court. *Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261, 270 (1976). The Court is charged with making a de novo determination of those portions of the Report to which specific objection is made, and the Court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge or recommit the matter with instructions. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

3:10-cv-01610-TMC Date Filed 09/27/11 Entry Number 37 Page 2 of 2

The Magistrate Judge filed the Report on July 8 2011, and the Clerk of Court entered

Petitioner's objections to the Report on August 17, 2011. The Court has reviewed the objections,

but finds them to be without merit. Therefore, it will enter judgment accordingly.

After a thorough review of the Report and the record in this case pursuant to the standard set

forth above, the Court overrules Petitioner's objections, adopts the Report and incorporates it herein.

Therefore, it is the judgment of this Court that Petitioner's Motion for Reconsideration is **DENIED**,

Respondent's Motion for Summary Judgment is GRANTED, and the Petition is DISMISSED

without an evidentiary hearing..

To the extent that Petitioner requests a certificate of appealability from this Court, that

certificate is **DENIED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Signed this 27th day of September, 2011, in Spartanburg, South Carolina.

s/ Henry F. Floyd

HENRY F. FLOYD

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL

The parties are hereby notified of the right to appeal this Order within thirty days from the

date hereof, pursuant to Rules 3 and 4 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure.

2